Quasielastic light scattering on swollen silicon networks

Karl-F. Arndt^{1,*}, Konrad Witkowski², and Karl-H. Schimmel¹

1Technical University "Carl Schorlemmer" Leuna-Merseburg, DDR-4200 Merseburg, German Democratic Republic ²Institute of Physics, University of Gdańsk, PI-80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

Summary

We have measured cooperative diffusion coefficients D_c of different polymer networks (PDMS, PDMSM) at equilibrium swelling by using the quasielastlc light scattering technique. The model networks have been prepared by tetrafunctional endlinking of linear chains having different molecular masses. $D_{\mathbf{C}}$ is a function of the molecular mass of the network chains and the swelling degree at equilibrium, which can be described by scaling laws. The existence of a slow mode motion, similar to that in concentrated polymer solution, could be shown.

Introduction

In the past few years the reptation model has been proposed by de Gennes (I) to describe the chains rearrangements. Its consequences on the viscoelastic properties of a polymer melt have been worked out by Doi and Edwards (2). In the case of polymer solutions the polymer concentration c has to be taken into account. This has been done, theoretically, by the scaling approach developed by de Gennes (3) and des Cloizeaux (4).

In the dynamics of polymer solutions the diffusion of a polymer chain is of interest. We can distinguish the cooperative diffusion coefficient D_c , which describes cooperative, wavelike motions of the gel-like state, and the self diffusion coefficient D_S , which describes the macroscopic translation of the polymer chain.

For cooperative diffusion, the equation D_c = kT(6 $\pi\,\eta\,{}_O\,\xi$)"' applies. Since $\zeta \propto (c/c^2)^{-2/4}$ (ζ = screening length, c^+ = overlap concentration), the following equation holds for the concentration dependence:

$$
D_c(c) = D_c(c=0)(c/c^+)^{3/4}
$$
 (1)

The self diffusion coefficient scales as

$$
D_{\rm s} \propto M^{-2} \, \text{c}^{-1} \cdot 75 \tag{2}
$$

for the semidilute region, and **D_s** \propto **M** - c⁻³ (3)

^{*}To whom offprint requests should be **sent**

for the concentrated region, with the concentration c and the molecular mass M (5). the molecular mass M (5).

In polymer solutions, both types of motion have been proven
(6,7,8).
As added to the example of the secling ecreant, sucllar

According to the arguments of the scaling concept, swollen polymer networks should show the behaviour of the pseudo gels formed by entanglement. The theory of the dynamics of an isotropic elastic body does not predict the slow mode which is observed in transient networks of entangled chains (9). Measurements with real gels appear to indicate that slow mode disappears when the gel point has been passed (10). Slew mode motion is retained in thermoreversible networks

Recently, (17), neutron-spin echo results concerning the dynamics of crosslinks in a model network of PDMS have been published. A report on the effects of the motion of crosslinks on the structural factor of QELS and a theoretical description of the Brownian motion of chains and crosslinks in a permanently linked network has been given in (12). With the help of QELS, the dynamic behaviour of polymer molecules in solution can be studied. $D_{\rm C}$ of swollen polymer networks (q3) can be calculated from the measured autocorrelation function (14).

In the present work, D_c of polymer networks with different network densities are measured in the state of equilibrium swelling. Slow mode motion can be found in the polymer network. The dependence of the cooperative diffusion coefficient and the diffusion coefficient of slow mode motion on the concentration and molar mass is discussed.

Experimental

The QEIS-measurements were performed with the light scattering apparatus described in (15) in heterodyne regime. The reference beam was produced by means of the flare from a needle introduced into the incident beam inside the scattering cell. The measured autocorrelation function in this case has the form:

$$
C(t) = A \cdot g^{(1)}(t) + B \tag{4}
$$

where A and B.are constants dependent on the experimental conditions, $g(1)(t)$ is the first order, normalized field autocorrelation function, t is the delay time, here t=at, 2At...64At, at being the sampling time of the autocorrelator. Hydrodynamic theories (3,12) predict that the time-dependent term of the autocorrelation function of light scattered of a swollen polymer network is a single exponential function:

 $g^{(1)}(t) = \exp(-q^2Dt)$ (5)

The magnitude of the scattering vector q is given by $_{0}$ =(4 π $\widetilde{\pi}/\lambda$) sin $J/2$, where λ_{0} is the wavelength of the incident light in a vacuum (633 nm), v is the scattering angle (ψ = 900), and h is the index of refraction of the scattering medium.

The diffusion coefficient is determined by fitting the

measured autocorrelation function to eq. (5). Since

$$
\leqslant = \left(\frac{1}{61} \sum_{i=1}^{64} \left[\frac{C_i - C_i^{\text{fit}}}{C_i} \right]^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 0.02 ,
$$

the one-exponent fitting is justified, The best fitted D and A/B values are shown in the Table 1 for all investigated samples,

Table 1

The cubic or cylindrical samples (diameter of the dry sample 5 mm, thickness ~2 mm) were placed into the swelling liquid. They were allowed to stand for at least 2 days to attain thermodynamic stability. The samples were fixed at a defines position in the light scattering cell. All measurements were performed at room temperature (2000). In some cases, problems arose during sample positioning. They were caused by folded sample surface or fragility. For these reasons we were not able to perform measurements in such cases, We investigated 1.4-cis-polybutadiene crosslinked by rifradiation ~dosis of irradiation 0.3 MGy) and poly(dimethylsiloxane) and poly(dimethylsilmethylene) with crosslinked end groups. The poly(dimethylsiloxane) networks (series A) were synthesized by end-linking α , ω -dihydroxy poly(dimethylsiloxane) with tetra ortho silicate as a crosslinking agent and one weight percent of tin 2-ethyl hexanoate as a catalyst. The bifunctional siloxanes were prepared by anionic ring opening polymerization of octamethyl cyclo tetrasiloxane. The ratio of functional groups was near 1, $r=n(C(2H₅)/n(0H))$, and in some cases (series M) extremly different from 1. The poly(dimethylsilmethylene) precursors were prepared as described in (16), They were crosslinked in the same manner

(series B). All precursor chains were characterized by water content, end group analysis of hydroxy groups, number average and weight average molecular masses by gel permeation chromatography. The values are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2

The networks prepared from each polymer were extracted in toluene at room temperature for 3 days in order to remove unreacted materials. In most cases the soluble fraction w_S was smaller than 5 wt%.

Assuming isotropic swelling, the degree of swelling Q (Q=1/ $\phi_{\rm B}$, $\phi_{\texttt{R}^{\texttt{m}}}$ volume fraction polymer) can be calculated from the $-$

ratio of thickness of the swollen and unswollen test specimen. The thickness was measured with a cathetometer. The molecular masses M_{\star} of the network chains were determined by measurements of compression and stress-strain, assuming the structure factor A=1.

Resuits and Discussion

QEIS experiments with short delay time (sampling time A t=5 μ s) give the diffusion coefficient D_1 . They show the behaviour $ext{expected}$ in cooperative diffusion. When $QELS$ measurements are performed at a long delay time (4t=Ims), a slow mode motion can be found (D_2) . The cooperative diffusion coefficient is concentration-depen-

dent. For a series of networks of the same functionality but different crosslinking densities and swollen to equilibrium by a pure good solvent, the concentration dependence of D_c can be expressed as (18)
 $D_c \propto \varphi_B^{\left(9/4-\alpha\right)}$

$$
\mathbf{D}_{\rm c} \propto \varphi_{\rm B}^{(9/4-\alpha)}
$$

Fig. I: Concentration dependence of diffusion coefficients Fig. 2: Dependence of diffusion coefficients on M_c

(series A: \bullet ; series B: \bullet ; series M: + ; PB- γ : 4; solvent: toluene)

where α is the exponent of the concentration dependence of the friction factor (experimental studies showed that ≥ 1.5). Hence an exponent ≤ 0.75 is to be expected for the concentration dependence of D_{C} . For the samples of series A and B.

$$
\mathbf{D}_1 \propto \phi_B^{0.8}
$$

applies (Fig. I). The exponent agrees well with a value of $0.77 ~\pm~0.02$ measured in ref. (17) for PDMS networks in toluene. The samples of series M, which was synthesized with an excess of crosslinking agent, deviate from the straight line. For D_2 , no unequivocal relationship with φ_B can be found. As compared with D_1 , D_2 becomes smaller with increasing polymer concentration,

$$
D_2 \propto \phi_{\rm R}^{-a} , a = 0.4...0.7.
$$

Fig. 2 represents the dependence of the D_1 and D_2 on M_{c} . For PDMS networks, $D_1 \propto N_C^{-0.7}$, for PDMSM networks, $D_1 \propto N_C^{-1}$. The exponent can be explained by the Mc-Q dependence derived from the Flory-Rehner equation. For good swelling agents, **3/5**

applies. This would give $D_1 \propto M_c^D$, $b \ge -0.45$; the experimental values

are smaller than expected. By contrast with D_1 , the D_2 values become greater with increasing molecular mass of the network chains. Ref. (19) gives coefficients of the self diffusion of PDMS chains in PDMS model networks. In this work it has been found that for a diffusant with $M_{\Omega} = 4,700$ gmol- $\frac{1}{10}$, D_S has a value of $0.7 10^{-7}$ cm²s⁻¹ in the melt (matrix = diffusant) and 0.34 10^{-7} cm cm^{-} s 'in a network (M_a = 3,700 gmol⁻¹). The diffusion coefficient of the slow mode motion found by us is smaller by a factor of $5...10$. This means that D_2 cannot be related with non-crosslinked chains and free ends of chains, but has to be attributed to dynamic processes in the network, i.e. to the motion of the crosslinks. A motion based on2a reptation mechanism has to exhibit the characteristic M- \leq dependence (Equations 2,3). In the case of reptation, a plot lg D₂ M₂ - lg ϕ _R give a straight line with a slope of -1.75...-3. Actually, for $\phi_B \le 0.25$,

$$
\mathbf{D}_2 \propto \mathbf{M}_c^{-2} \varphi_B^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{L}
$$

When we include the value at $\phi_R = 0.37$ into the calculation, the exponent is -2.8 (Fig. 3). $-$

Fig. @ represents the ratio of the cooperative diffusion coefficient D_1 to D_2 . D_1/D_2 decreases with increasing molecular mass M_c . Sample M_{Z_2} which has been synthesized with equal molecular mass $M_{\rm n}$ of the initial chains, but with an increased amount of crosslinking agent, must have a functionality f >4

Fig. 3: Concentration dependence of the product D_2M_2 (Equation 2,3)

Fig. 4: Dependence of the ratio of cooperative diffusion coefficient to diffusion coefficient of the slow mode motion

(the crosslinking agent reacts with itself). The D_1/D_2 ratio is clearly lower than would have been expected from the $M_{\rm C}$ dependence. Hence the functionality has an effect on the motion of the crosslinks. Thus. the following applies: The smaller the molecular mass of the network chains Mc, and the greater the functionality, the smaller is D_2 , and the greater is the hindrance of the motion of the crosslinks. This should 6ire the possibility of obtaining information on the functionality of the crosslinks by comparing the slow mode motion and the cooperative diffusion.

Acknowledgement

The QEIS measurements were performed during a stay of one of the authors $(K_• F. A_•)$ at the University of Gdahsk. We are grateful to Dr. L. Wolinski of University of Gdańsk for helpful discussions.

References

1. de Gennes, P. G., J. Chem. Phys. 55, 572 (1971) 2. Doi, M., Edwards, J., J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. <u>II 74</u>, 1818 (1978) j 3. de Gennes, P. G., Macromolecules <u>9</u>, 594 (1976) 4. des Cloizeaux, J., J. Phys. (Paris) <u>36</u>, 281 (1975) 5. Daoud, M., Jannink, G., J. Phys. (Paris) 37, 1045 (1976) 6. Eisele, M., Burchard, W., Macromolecules <u>77,</u> 1636(1984) 7. Brown, W., Stilbs, P., Johnsen, R. M., J. Polymer Sci. Polymer Phys. Ed. 20, 1771 (1982) 8. Eisele, M., Burchard, W., Pure Appl. Chem. 56, 1379 (1984)
9. Burchard, W., Chimia <u>39</u>, 10 (1985) 10. Candau, S. J., et al., Br. Polymer J. 17, 210 (1985) 11. Oeser, R., et al., Phys. Rev. Letter <u>60</u>, 1041 (1988) 12. Vilgis, T. A., J. Polymer Sci. Polymer-Phys. Ed. 26, 2291 (1988) 13. Arndt, K. F., Witkowski, K., Schimmel, K. H., Acta Polymerica <u>39</u>, 475 (1988) I#. Tanaka, T., Hocker, L.. Benedek, G. B. , J. Chem. Phys. 59, 5151 (1975) . 15. Witkowski, K., Optik <u>73</u>, 93, 133 (1986) Schimmel, K. H., Pham-%he Trinh. Polymer Bull. 377_ (1988) 17. Munch, J. P., et al., J. Phys. (Paris) 38, 1499 (1976) 18. Bastide, J., et al., Macromolecules $17,~83$ (1984) 19. Garrido, L., J. Polymer Sci. Polymer-Phys. Ed. <u>26</u>,2367(1988)

Accepted July 7, 1989 C